
New subclass of harmonic univalent functions with respect to 2k-symmetric conjugate points

S. F. Ramadan* M. Darus**

*Department of Mathematics, Zawia University, Zawia, Libya

Salma.naji@gmail.com

**School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Sciences and Technology
University Kebangsaan Malaysia

maslina@ukm.my

Abstract: Let S_H denote the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ which are harmonic univalent and sense preserving in the unit disk is $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. We introduce here new classes of harmonic functions with respect to 2k-symmetric conjugate points. Various properties are obtained.

Keywords: Univalent functions, 2k-symmetric conjugate points. Hadamard product (or convolution).

Introduction

A continuous functions $f = u + iv$ is a complex harmonic function in a complex domain

□ if both u and v are real harmonic in □ . In any simply connected domain $D \subseteq \square$ we can write $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in D . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f . A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent

and sense-preserving in D is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in D . See [1].

Denote by S_H the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ for which $f(0) = h(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. For $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$, we may express the analytic functions h and g as

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad |b_1| < 1 \quad (1.1)$$

Observe that S_H reduces to S , the class of normalized univalent analytic functions, if the co-analytic part of f is zero.

A function f is said to be harmonic starlike of order α in U denoted by $S_H^*(\alpha)$

$$\text{(see [6]) if } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\arg f(re^{i\theta})) = \Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{h(z) + g(z)} \right\} \geq \alpha,$$

For $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $z \in U$.

Also, a function f is said to be harmonic convex of order α in U denoted by $C_H^*(\alpha)$ (

$$\begin{aligned} \text{see[6]) if } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\arg f(re^{i\theta})) \right\} &= \Re \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}) \right) \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ \frac{zh''(z) + h'(z) - \overline{zg''(z) + g'(z)}}{h'(z) + g'(z)} \right\} \geq \alpha \text{ For } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq r \leq 1 \text{ and } z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

The authors in [1] investigated the class S_H as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. Since then, there has been several related papers on S_H and its subclasses such that [5], [7] and [2] studied the harmonic

univalent functions.

Luo and Wang [3] once introduced subclasses of functions in A with respect to $2k$ -symmetric conjugate points, which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f_{2k}(z)}}{\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f_{2k}(z)} + (1-\lambda)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.2) \text{Where}$$

$0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $k \geq 2$ is a fixed positive integer.

Extending the definition (1.2) to include the harmonic functions, we let $P_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ denote the class of complex-

valued, sense-preserving harmonic functions f of the form (1.1), which satisfy the condition

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta})}{f_{2k}(re^{i\theta})}}{\lambda \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta})}{f_{2k}(re^{i\theta})} + (1-\lambda)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.3)$$

where $k \geq 2$ is a fixed positive integer and $z = re^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq r < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and

$$f_{2k} = h_{2k} + \overline{g_{2k}} \text{ where}$$

$$h_{2k} = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \left[\varepsilon^{-\nu} h(\varepsilon^{-\nu} z) + \varepsilon^{-\nu} \overline{h(\varepsilon^{-\nu} \bar{z})} \right], g_{2k} = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \left[\varepsilon^{-\nu} g(\varepsilon^{-\nu} z) + \varepsilon^{-\nu} \overline{g(\varepsilon^{-\nu} \bar{z})} \right],$$

$$(\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k)). \quad (1.4)$$

Also, note that $\mathcal{Q}_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ denote the class of complex-valued, sense-preserving harmonic univalent functions f of the form (1.1), which satisfy the condition:

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}) \right)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{2k}(re^{i\theta})} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\left\{ \lambda \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}) \right)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{2k}(re^{i\theta})} + (1-\lambda) \right\}$$

where $k \geq 2$ is a fixed positive integer and
 $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq r < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and f_{2k} given by (1.4).

further, for functions f given by (1.1) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n}$, let $(f * g)(z)$ denote the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g , defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n a_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n b_n z^n}.$$

In this paper, we determine a convolution characterization for functions in $\mathcal{P}_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. We then introduce a sufficient condition for functions to be in $\mathcal{P}_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and

$$\mathcal{Q}_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha).$$

Here we state a results due to [2], which will be used throughout this paper.

Lemma 1.1 Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ with h and g are given by (1.1). If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n+\alpha}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \right) \leq 2,$$

for $a_1 = 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$. then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in U , and $f \in P_H(0, \alpha)$.

Lemma 1.2 Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ with h and g are given by (1.1). If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n(n-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n(n+\alpha)}{1-\alpha} |b_n| \right) \leq 2, \text{ for } a_1 = 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \text{ Then } f \text{ is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in } U, \text{ and } f \in Q_H(0, \alpha).$$

2 Convolution Characterization

First, we give a meaningful conclusion about the class $P_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$

Theorem 2.1 A harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ is in $P_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{z} \left\{ h * \left[\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \left([1-e^{i\theta}] - \lambda [1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}] \right) - \frac{(1-\lambda)[1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}]}{2} \varphi \right] (z) - \frac{(1-\lambda)[1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}]}{2} \overline{(h * \varphi)(\bar{z})} - g * \left[\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \left([1-e^{i\theta}] + \lambda [1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}] \right) + \frac{(1-\lambda)[1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}]}{2} \varphi \right] (z) - \frac{(1-\lambda)[1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}]}{2} \overline{(g * \varphi)(\bar{z})} \right\} \neq 0, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ where φ is given by (2.6).

Proof: For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, a harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ is in $P_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if

and only if the condition (1.3) holds. Differentiating $f(re^{i\theta})$ with respect to θ and substituting in (1.3) we obtain

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{f_{2k}(z)}}{\lambda \left[\frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{f_{2k}(z)} \right] + (1-\lambda)} \right\} > \alpha. \text{ Or equivalent,}$$

$$\frac{\frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{f_{2k}(z)}}{\lambda \left[\frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{f_{2k}(z)} \right] + (1-\lambda)} \neq \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}} \quad (2.2)$$

For all $z \in U$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ and the condition (2.2) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{z} \left\{ (1-e^{i\theta}) \left[zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)} \right] - \left\{ \lambda \left[zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)} \right] + (1-\lambda) \left[h_{2k}(z) + \overline{g_{2k}(z)} \right] \right\} \left[1+(1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta} \right] \right\} \neq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, it is well known that

$$zh'(z) = h(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \quad zg'(z) = g(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}. \quad (2.4)$$

And from the definition of h_{2k} and g_{2k} , we know

$$h_{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(h * \varphi)(z) + \overline{(h * \varphi)(\bar{z})} \right]; \quad g_{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(g * \varphi)(z) + \overline{(g * \varphi)(\bar{z})} \right] \quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{Where } \varphi = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{z}{1-\varepsilon^v z}. \quad (2.6)$$

Substituting (2.4) and (2.5) in (2.3), we can get (2.1) easily. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

3 Coefficient Inequality

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for harmonic functions in $P_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ with h and g given by (1.1) and $f_{2k} = h_{2k} + \overline{g_{2k}}$ given by

$$(1.4). \text{ Let } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\left[(n-1)k + 1 \right] (1-\lambda\alpha) a_{(n-1)k+1} - (1-\lambda) \Re(a_{(n-1)k+1})}{1-\alpha} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{[1-\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(a_{(n-1)k+1})|}{1-\alpha} - \frac{[(n-1)k+1](1-\lambda\alpha)b_{(n-1)k+1} - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_{(n-1)k+1})}{1-\alpha} \\
 & + \frac{[1+\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(b_{(n-1)k+1})|}{1-\alpha} - \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(1-\alpha\lambda)}{1-\alpha} [|a_n| + |b_n|] \leq 2 \quad (3.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1 = 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, l \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$. Then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in U and $f \in P_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Proof: Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n-\alpha}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{n+\alpha}{1+\alpha} |b_n| \right]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{|n(1-\alpha\lambda)a_n - (1-\lambda)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| + [1-\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(a_n)|\Phi_n}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{|n(1-\alpha\lambda)b_n - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| + [1+\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(b_n)|\Phi_n}{1-\alpha} \right\} \\
 & \quad \left(\Phi_n = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{(n-1)v}, \quad \varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k) \right) \\
 & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{|(nk+1)(1-\alpha\lambda)a_{nk+1} - (1-\lambda)\Re(a_{nk+1})| + [1-\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(a_{nk+1})|}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{|(nk+1)(1-\alpha\lambda)b_{nk+1} - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_{nk+1})| + [1+\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(b_{nk+1})|}{1-\alpha} \right\} \\
 & \quad - \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(1-\alpha\lambda)}{1-\alpha} [|a_n| + |b_n|] \leq 2,
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.1, we conclude that f is sense-preserving harmonic univalent and starlike in U . To proof $f \in P_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ according to the condition (1.3), we need to show that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta})}{f_{2k}(re^{i\theta})} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}}{\lambda [zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}] + (1-\lambda) [h_{2k}(z) + \overline{g_{2k}(z)}]} \right\}$$

$$= \Re \frac{A(z)}{B(z)} > \alpha,$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq r < 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $k \geq 2$.

$$A(z) = zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{nb_n z^n} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$B(z) = \lambda [zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}] + (1-\lambda) [h_{2k}(z) + \overline{g_{2k}(z)}]$$

$$= \lambda \left\{ z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{nb_n z^n} \right\} + (1-\lambda) \left\{ z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Re(a_n) \Phi_n z^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\Re(b_n) \Phi_n z^n} \right\} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\text{where } \Phi_n = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{(n-1)v}, \quad \varepsilon^k = 1$$

Using the fact that $\Re(w) \geq \alpha$ if and only if $|1-\alpha+w| \geq |1+\alpha-w|$, it suffices to show that $|A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1+\alpha)B(z)| \geq 0$

On the other hand, for $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ as given in (3.2) and (3.3) respectively, we have $|A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1+\alpha)B(z)|$

$$= \left| (1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)h_{2k}(z) + [1+(1-\alpha)\lambda]zh'(z) + \overline{(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)g_{2k}(z)} \right.$$

$$\left. - [1+(1-\alpha)\lambda]\overline{zg'(z)} \right|$$

$$- \left| -(1-\lambda)(1+\alpha)h_{2k}(z) + [1-(1+\alpha)\lambda]zh'(z) - \overline{(1-\lambda)(1+\alpha)g_{2k}(z)} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{-[1-(1+\alpha)\lambda]zg'(z)} &= \left| (2-\alpha)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{na_n [1-(1-\alpha)\lambda] + (1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n\} z^n \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{nb_n [1-(1-\alpha)\lambda] - (1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n\} z^n \right| \\
 &= \left| -\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{na_n [1-(1+\alpha)\lambda] + (1-\lambda)(1+\alpha)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n\} z^n \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{nb_n [1-(1+\alpha)\lambda] + (1-\lambda)(1+\alpha)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n\} z^n \right| \\
 &\geq (2-\alpha)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |na_n [1+(1-\alpha)\lambda] + (1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| |z^n| \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |nb_n [1+(1-\alpha)\lambda] - (1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| |z^n| \\
 &\quad - \alpha|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |na_n [1-(1+\alpha)\lambda] + (1-\lambda)(1+\alpha)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| |z^n| \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |nb_n [1-(1+\alpha)\lambda] + (1-\lambda)(1+\alpha)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| |z^n| \\
 &\geq (2-\alpha)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[|na_n [1+(1-\alpha)\lambda] + (1-\lambda)(1-\alpha)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (2-\alpha(1-\lambda))|\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| \right] |z^n| \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[|nb_n [1+(1-\alpha)\lambda] - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| + (1-\lambda)\alpha|\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| \right] |z^n| \\
 &= -\alpha|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[|na_n [1-(1+\alpha)\lambda] - (1-\lambda)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| - (1-\lambda)\alpha|\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| \right] |z^n| \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[|nb_n [1-(1+\alpha)\lambda] - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| + (2+(1-\lambda)\alpha)|\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| \right] |z^n|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2(1-\alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|n(1-\alpha\lambda)a_n - (1-\lambda)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| + [1-\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(a_n)|\Phi_n}{1-\alpha} |z|^{n-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|n(1-\alpha\lambda)b_n - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| + [1+\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(b_n)|\Phi_n}{1-\alpha} |z|^{n-1} \right\} \\
 &\geq 2(1-\alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|n(1-\alpha\lambda)a_n - (1-\lambda)\Re(a_n)\Phi_n| + [1-\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(a_n)|\Phi_n}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|n(1-\alpha\lambda)b_n - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_n)\Phi_n| + [1+\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(b_n)|\Phi_n}{1-\alpha} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of Φ_n we know $\Phi_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = lk + 1, \\ 0, & n \neq lk + 1 \end{cases}$

(3.4)

Substituting (3.4) in the last inequality above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|A(z) + (1-\alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1+\alpha)B(z)| \\
 &\geq 2(1-\alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|(nk+1)(1-\alpha\lambda)a_{nk+1} - (1-\lambda)\Re(a_{nk+1})| + [1-\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(a_{nk+1})|}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(nk+1)(1-\alpha\lambda)b_{nk+1} - (1-\lambda)\Re(b_{nk+1})| + [1+\alpha(1-\lambda)]|\Re(b_{nk+1})|}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(1-\alpha\lambda)}{1-\alpha} |a_n| - \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(1-\alpha\lambda)}{1-\alpha} |b_n| - \frac{[1+\alpha(1-\lambda)]}{1-\alpha} |b_1| \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2(1-\alpha)|z| \left\{ 2 \right. \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\left[\left[(n-1)k+1 \right] (1-\alpha\lambda) a_{(n-1)k+1} - (1-\lambda) \Re(a_{(n-1)k+1}) \right]}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \frac{\left[1-\alpha(1-\lambda) \right] \left| \Re(a_{(n-1)k+1}) \right|}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \frac{\left[\left[(n-1)k+1 \right] (1-\alpha\lambda) b_{(n-1)k+1} - (1-\lambda) \Re(b_{(n-1)k+1}) \right]}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\left[1-\alpha(1-\lambda) \right] \left| \Re(b_{(n-1)k+1}) \right|}{1-\alpha} \right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq k+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(1-\alpha\lambda)}{1-\alpha} \left[|a_n| + |b_n| \right] \geq 0, \text{ by (3.1).}$$

The Class $Q_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$

The technique of prove the following theorem for the class $Q_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$, is the

same as the proof of Theorem 3.1 and by using Lemma 1.2 instead of Lemma 1.1.

Theorem 4.1 Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ with h and g given by (1.1) and $f_{2k} = h_{2k} + \overline{g_{2k}}$ given by (1.4). Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\left[(n-1)k+1 \right] \left[\left[(n-1)k+1 \right] (1-\alpha\lambda) a_{(n-1)k+1} - (1-\lambda) \Re(a_{(n-1)k+1}) \right]}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\left[1-\alpha(1-\lambda) \right] \left| \Re(a_{(n-1)k+1}) \right|}{1-\alpha} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\left[(n-1)k+1 \right] \left[\left[(n-1)k+1 \right] (1-\alpha\lambda) b_{(n-1)k+1} - (1-\lambda) \Re(b_{(n-1)k+1}) \right]}{1-\alpha} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \left. \frac{+ [1 + \alpha(1 - \lambda)] \left| \Re \left(b_{(n-1)k+1} \right) \right|}{1 - \alpha} \right\} - \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ n \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(1 - \alpha\lambda)}{1 - \alpha} \left[|a_n| + |b_n| \right] \right\} \leq 2,$$

where $a_1 = 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $l \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$. Then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in U and $f \in Q_{H_{sc}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

فئة جديدة للدوال الأحادية التوافقية المتعلقة ب $2k$ من النقاط المترافقة المتماثلة

ماسلينا داروس

سالمة فرج رمضان ناجي

المخلص: لتأخذ S_H تعرف فئة من الدوال $f = h + \bar{g}$ الأحادية التوافقية والمحافظة في قرص الوحدة U . في هذه الورقة نحن نقدم فئات جديدة من الدوال الأحادية التوافقية المتعلقة ب $2k$ من النقاط المترافقة المتماثلة. العديد من الخواص علي هذه الفئات تم دراستها في هذه الورقة.

References

- [1] J. Clunie and T. Shell-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 9 (1984), 3-25.
- [2] J. M. Jahangiri, Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 235 (1999), 470-477.
- [3] H. C. Luo and Z. G. Wang, Some subclasses of analytic functions with respect to $2k$ -symmetric conjugate points, (to appear).
- [4] Salma Faraj Ramadan and Maslina Darus, New subclasses of harmonic univalent functions defined by a generalized differential operator. *J. Quality Measurement and Analysis Jurnal Pengukuran Kualiti and Analisis*, 8 (1) (2012), 97-109.
- [5] H. Silverman, Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51 (1998), 283-289.
- [6] T. Shell-Small, Constants for planar harmonic mapping, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 42 (1990), 237-248.
- [7] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions, *New Zeal. J. Math.*, 28 (1999), 275-284.